

AF ZW

US 3183

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

[Signature]
Signature

July 19, 2005
Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applic. No. : 09/917,541 Confirmation No. 9996
Applicant : Katrin Reisinger
Filed : July 27, 2001
Title : Mailing Machine and Initialization Method
Group Art Unit : 3621
Examiner : Daniel L. Greene

Docket No. : GTP/US 3183
Customer No. : 24131

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S i r :

This is a *Supplemental Reply Brief* responding to the
Examiner's Answer mailed May 11, 2005. The present *Reply*
Brief is intended to supplement, and not replace, Appellant's
Reply Brief filed on July 11, 2005.

Arguments:

Appellant's *Reply Brief* filed on July 11, 2005 is incorporated herein, by reference.


Additionally, Appellant would like to point out that an Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) was filed on May 4, 2005 ("**Information Disclosure Statement**"), in connection with the present case. Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d), an Information Disclosure Statement will be considered if filed before the payment of an issue fee and accompanied by the required fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) and statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e). The **Information Disclosure Statement** was filed before the payment of an issue fee, and was further accompanied by the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) and the fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p). As such, Appellant's **Information Disclosure Statement** was timely filed. We note that the **Information Disclosure Statement** was not indicated as being reviewed in the Examiner's Answer of May 11, 2005, nor was the Form 1449 "checked off".

Based on the arguments presented in Appellant's Reply Brief of July 11, 2005, the honorable Board is therefore respectfully urged to reverse the final rejection of the Primary Examiner.

Further, Appellant requests that the **Information Disclosure Statement**, timely filed in the present case, be reviewed.

Applic. No. 09/917,541
Response Dated July 19, 2005
Responsive to Office Action of May 11, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



For Appellant

Kerry P. Sisselman
Reg. No. 37,237

July 19, 2005

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480
Tel: (954) 925-1100
Fax: (954) 925-1101